

# Comparison of Outlier Detection Procedures in Multiple Linear Regressions

Gafar Matanmi Oyeyemi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Abdulwasii Bukoye<sup>2</sup>, Imam Akeyede<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, Auchi polytechnic, Auchi, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics Federal University Lafia, Lafia, Nigeria

---

**Abstract** Regression analysis has become one of most widely used statistical tools for analyzing multifactor data. It is appealing because it provides a conceptually simple method for investigating functional relationship among variables. A relationship is expressed in the form of an equation or a model connecting the response or dependent variable and one or more explanatory or predictor variables. The major problem that statisticians have been confronted with, while dealing with regression analysis, is presence of outliers in data. An outlier is an observation that lies outside the overall pattern of a distribution. In other words it is a point which falls more than 1.5 times the interquartile range above the third quartile or below the first quartile. Several statistics are available to detect whether or not outlier(s) are present in data. Therefore, in this study, a simulation study was conducted to investigate the performance of Deffits, Cooks distance and Mahalanobis distance at different proportion of outliers (10%, 20% and 30%) and for various sample sizes (10, 30 and 100) in first, second or both independent variables. The data were generated using R software from normal distribution while the outliers were from uniform distribution. **Findings:** For small and medium sample sizes and at 10% level of outliers, Mahalanobis distance should be employed for her accuracy of detection of outliers. For small, medium and large sample size with higher percentage of outliers, Deffits should be employed. For small, medium and large sample sizes, Deffits should be used in detecting outlier signal irrespective of the percentage levels of outliers in the data set. For small sample and low percent of outliers Mahalanobis distance should be employed for easy computation.

**Keywords** Outliers, Linear regression, Simulation, Probability

---

## 1. Introduction

Regression analysis is a conceptually simple method for investigating functional relationships among variables. For example; The University management may wish to relate the performance of students with number of hours spent by the students on internets. We may wish to examine whether cigarette consumption is related to various socioeconomic and demographic variables such as age, education, income, and price of cigarettes.

The relationship is expressed in the form of an equation or a model connecting the response or dependent variable and one or more explanatory or predictor variables. In the cigarette consumption for example, the response variable is cigarette consumption (measured by the number of packs of cigarette sold in a given state on a per capita basis during a given year) and the explanatory or predictor variables are the various socioeconomic and demographic variables. In the real estate appraisal example, the response variable is the

price of a home and the explanatory or predictor variables are the characteristics of the building and taxes paid on the building.

Regression models are commonly used to study the functional relationship between a dependent variable(Y) and independent variable(s) (X's). Usually, ordinary least-squares (OLS) method is applied to the sample data to obtain the fitted linear model or linear regression equation of the dependent variable  $y$  on the regressors  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ .

However, sometimes the samples might contain outliers in the X's values, the Y's values, or in both X's and Y's values. In that case, some methods of estimation in regression model may not be précised.

In statistics, an outlier is an observation that is numerically distant from the rest of the data. [1] defined an outlier as one that appears to deviate markedly from other members of the sample in which it occurs. It is an observation that lies outside the overall pattern of a distributions ([2]) Similarly, Johnson ([3]) defines an outlier as an observation in a data set which appears to be inconsistent with the remainder of that set of data. In many data analysis tasks a large number of variables are being recorded or sampled. One of the first steps towards obtaining a coherent analysis is the detection

---

\* Corresponding author:

gmoyeyemi@gmail.com (Gafar Matanmi Oyeyemi)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/ajms>

Copyright © 2015 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

of outlying observations.

Although outliers are often considered as an error or noise, they may carry important information. A convenient definition of an outlier is a point which falls more than 1.5 times the interquartile range above the third quartile or below the first quartile. It can also occur when comparing the relationship between two set of data. According to Oxford Dictionary of Statistics (2008), outlier is an observation that is very different to other observations in a set of data. It is a data value which is unusual with respect to the group of data in which it is found. It may be a single isolated value far away from all others, or a value which does not follow the general pattern of the rest. Usually the presences of outliers indicate some sort of problem. This can be a case which does not fit the model under study or measurement error. Outliers are often easy to spot in histograms.

Detected outliers are candidates for aberrant data that may otherwise adversely lead to model misspecification, biased parameter estimation and incorrect results. It is therefore important to identify them prior to modeling and analysis ([4], [5]).

When the sample data contain outliers, alternative approach to the problem should be applied, to obtain a better fit of the models or more precise estimates of  $\beta$ . Several works has been carried out on outliers' detection and how to tackle it if present in a data analysis. [5] conducted a comparison study with six multivariate outlier detection methods. The methods' properties are investigated by means of a simulation study and the results indicate that no technique is superior to all others;

Several factors can affect the efficiency of the analyzed methods. In particular, the methods depend on: whether or not the data set is multivariate normal; the dimension of the data set; the type of the outliers; the proportion of outliers in the dataset; and the outliers' degree of contamination (outlyingness). Another class of outlier detection methods is founded on clustering techniques, where a cluster of small sizes can be considered as clustered outliers ([6]). There are two types of outliers depending on the variable in which it occurs, Outliers in the response variable represent model failure. Outliers with respect to the predictors are called leverage points; and affect the regression model.

Indeed, there is need to check whether a data set contains outlier(s), hence different statistics are used to detect the presence of outliers in a sample data, three of which were investigated in this study, these are Cook's Square Distance, Deffits Distance and Mahalanobis Distance. The proportion of outliers that can best be detected by the different methods and effect of sample size on the methods under consideration were investigated.

The outcomes of this research will assist a researcher to understand and know the more efficient statistical tools for detecting outlier amongst deffits, cooks distance and Mahalanobis distance.

To help researchers to known at what sample size(s) and at what level of presence of outlier do each statistical tool perform best.

## 2. Methodology

In the literature, there are many methods of detection of outliers in multiple linear regressions. They may be classified in to two groups, namely graphical and analytical methods. However, three different methods of detecting outliers were considered in this study, these are Cook's Square Distance, Deffits Distance and Mahalanobis Distance. These methods are analytical methods which has their procedures as follows:

### Cook's Square Distance

Cook's square distance of unit  $i$  is a measure base on the square of the maximum distance between the OLS estimate on all  $n$  points  $\hat{\beta}$  and the estimate obtained when the  $i$ th point is not included, say  $\hat{\beta}_i$ . Cook and Weisberg suggest examining cases with  $CD_i^2 > 0.5$  and that case where  $CD_i^2 > 1$  should always be studied ([7]). This distance measure can be expressed in a general form

$$CD_i^2 = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_i - \beta)'(X'X)(\hat{\beta}_i - \beta)}{P\hat{\sigma}}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . However, substitute  $CD_i^2$  statistic may also be rewritten as

$$CD_i^2 = \left(\frac{e_i^2}{p}\right)\left(\frac{h_{ii}}{1-h_{ii}}\right)$$

For this research work cooks square distance ( $CD_i^2 > 1$ ) is considered. Any  $i$ th observation with values exceeding one (1.0) is counted as an outlier.

### Deffits Distance

Deffits is a diagnostic tool meant to show how influential a point is in a statistical regression. Its measures how much the predicted for  $i$  wound change if the  $i$ th case is being excluded from the analysis. For each observation  $i$  computed  $(\hat{y}_i - y_{i(i)})$  or  $(h_{ii}e_i) / (1 - h_{ii})$  which tells how much the predicted value  $\hat{y}_i$ , at the design point  $x_i$  would be affected if the  $i$ th case were deleted. The standardized version of Deffits is

$$\text{Deffit} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(h_{ii}^2 e_i)}{(\sigma_i(1 - h_{ii}))}$$

$\sigma_i$  is the standard error estimated with out the point  $i$

$h_{ii}$  is the leverag for the point

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . ([8]) suggested that any observation for which  $|DEFFIT| > 2\sqrt{p/n}$  warrants attention for outliers.

$p$  = Number of independent variable  $n$  = sample size of the data

for  $n = 10, p = 2$ .  $|DEFFIT| > 0.8944$

for  $n = 30, p = 2$ .  $|DEFFIT| > 0.5164$

for  $n = 100, p = 2$ .  $|DEFFIT| > 0.2828$

### Mahalanobis Distance

This measure the leverage by means of  $MD_i$  (Mahalanobis distance), where



**Table 2.** Probability of Correctly Signal Outliers

Sample size	% of outlier	X <sub>1</sub>			X <sub>2</sub>			X <sub>1</sub> and X <sub>2</sub>		
		Deffits	CD	MD	Deffits	CD	MD	Deffits	CD	MD
10	10	0.9670	0.6960	0.8140	0.9780	0.6940	0.7940	0.9850	0.7360	0.9900
	20	0.9630	0.3960	0.0300	0.9830	0.4360	0.0240	0.9690	0.3360	0.0470
	30	0.9750	0.2600	0.0140	9.8100	0.2600	0.0120	0.9770	0.3040	0.0280
30	10	0.9920	0.0460	1.0000	0.9950	0.0600	1.0000	0.9970	0.0890	1.0000
	20	0.9990	0.0080	0.7220	0.9990	0.0100	0.6850	0.6330	0.0180	0.8280
	30	0.9990	0.0060	0.4520	0.9970	0.0040	0.4130	0.8600	0.0120	0.6180
100	10	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0010	1.0000	1.0000	0.0010	1.0000
	20	1.0000	0.0000	0.9970	1.0000	0.0000	0.9950	1.0000	0.0000	0.9990
	30	1.0000	0.0000	0.9310	1.0000	0.0000	0.9310	1.0000	0.0000	0.9850

**Table 3.** Probability of Over Identification of Outliers

Sample size	% of outlier	X <sub>1</sub>			X <sub>2</sub>			X <sub>1</sub> and X <sub>2</sub>		
		Deffits	CD	MD	Deffits	CD	MD	Deffits	CD	MD
10	10	0.8050	0.0630	0.0060	0.7790	0.0780	0.0090	0.8190	0.0890	0.0110
	20	0.3650	0.0020	0.0000	0.3690	0.0000	0.0000	0.3800	0.0080	0.0000
	30	0.0570	0.0000	0.0000	0.0640	0.0000	0.0000	0.0630	0.0000	0.0000
30	10	0.5040	0.0000	0.0045	0.5330	0.0000	0.0480	0.4880	0.0000	0.0790
	20	0.0240	0.0000	0.0000	0.0150	0.0000	0.0000	0.0150	0.0000	0.0000
	30	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
100	10	0.3810	0.0000	0.0220	0.3780	0.0000	0.0020	0.2880	0.0000	0.0990
	20	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	30	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**Table 4.** Probability of Under Identification of Outliers

Sample size	% of outlier	X <sub>1</sub>			X <sub>2</sub>			X <sub>1</sub> and X <sub>2</sub>		
		Deffits	CD	MD	Deffits	CD	MD	Deffits	CD	MD
10	10	0.0330	0.3720	0.2770	0.0220	0.3400	0.1900	0.0150	0.2640	0.0100
	20	0.2590	0.9450	0.9990	0.2170	0.9390	0.9990	0.2340	0.8890	0.9850
	30	0.6600	1.0000	1.0000	0.6560	0.9990	1.0000	0.6660	0.9980	1.0000
30	10	0.1840	1.0000	0.6410	0.2020	1.0000	0.6320	0.2170	1.0000	0.3310
	20	0.8960	1.0000	1.0000	0.9030	1.0000	1.0000	0.9290	1.0000	1.0000
	30	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
100	10	0.4320	1.0000	0.9180	0.4500	1.0000	0.9180	0.5430	1.0000	0.6730
	20	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	30	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

## 5. Discussion and Conclusions

Based on the results of the simulated data and analysis, the results show that the outlier detections follow similar trend irrespective of which of the predictor variables contained the outliers (first, second predictors or first and second predictors). Mahalanobis distance detects outliers more accurately in small sample size ( $n=10$ ) and when the number (percentage) of outlier is small (10%) while Deffits performs better as level of outliers increases still for small sample size. For medium sample size ( $n = 30$ ) Mahalanobis distance

maintains its accuracy at 10% level of outliers and for large sample size Deffits performs best in accuracy.

Deffits signals more outliers (over detection) in small and large samples while Mahalanobis distance signals more outliers (over detection) in medium sample size ( $n = 30$ ) at 10% level of outliers. Deffits seems to be the most strict among the three procedures in the sense that it identified outliers more than number (percentage) of outliers injected, whereas, Cooks distance is more liberal among the three procedures.

## 6. Recommendations

From the result of this analysis, the following recommendations have been made:

- For small and medium sample sizes and at small number of outliers, Mahalanobis distance should be employed for its accuracy of detecting outliers.
- For small, medium and large sample size with higher percentage of outliers, Deffits should be employed.
- For small, medium and large sample sizes, Deffits should be used in detecting outlier signal irrespective of the percentage levels of outliers in the data set.
- For small sample and low percent of outliers Mahalanobis distance should be employed for easy computation.

The key point to stress here is that the above procedures can only serve to identify points that are suspicious from a statistical perspective. It does not mean that these points should automatically be eliminated. The removal of data points can be dangerous. While this will always improve the “fit” of your regression, it may end up destroying some of the most important information in your data. Hence the first question that should be asked is whether there exists some substantive information about these points that suggests that they should be removed. Do they involve special properties or circumstances not relevant for the situation under investigation? If no then there are no clear grounds for eliminating outliers. An alternative approach is to perform the regression both with and without these outliers, and examine their specific influence on the results. If this influence is minor, then it may not matter whether or not they are omitted. On the other hand, if their influence is substantial, then it is probably best to present the results of both analyses,

## Appendix

The codes for simulation as well as analysis are given below.

Sample size of 10 with 10% outlier on  $X_1$  computing for cooks distance.

```
m1 <- matrix(nrow=1,ncol=1000)
for (i in 1:1000) {
x1<-c(rnorm(9,0,1),runif(1,5,10))
x2<-c(rnorm(10,0,1)
e<-rnorm(10,0,1)
y<-x1+x2+1+e
lm1 <- lm(y~x1+x2)
cook<-as.vector(cooks.distance(lm1))
g<-which(cook>1)
count <- length(g)
m1[,i] <- count
```

Sample size of 10 with 10% outlier on  $X_1$  computing for deffits.

```
m2 <- matrix(nrow=1,ncol=1000)
for (i in 1:1000) {
x1 <- c(rnorm(9,0,1),runif(1,5,10))
x2 <- c(rnorm(10,0,1)
e <- rnorm(10,0,1)
y <- x1+x2+1+e
lm1 <- lm(y~x1+x2)
diffits <- as.vector(diffits(lm1))
g <- which(abs(diffits) > 0.8944)
count <- length(g)
m2[,i] <- count
}
```

Sample size of 10 with 10% outlier on  $X_1$  computing for mahalanobis distance.

```
m3 <- matrix(nrow=1,ncol=1000)
for (i in 1:1000) {
x1 <- c(rnorm(9,0,1),runif(1,5,10))
x2 <- c(rnorm(10,0,1)
e<-rnorm(10)
y<-x1+x2+1+e
x <- cbind(x1,x2)
d <- mahalanobis(x, colMeans(x), cov(x))
g <- which(d > qchisq(0.99,1))
count <- length(g)
m3[,i] <- count
}
```

---

## REFERENCES

- [1] Grubbs, F. E. “Procedures for detecting outlying observations in samples,” *Technometrics*, vol. 11, pp. 1-21, 1969.
- [2] Moore, D.S and McCabe, G. P., *Introduction to the practice of Statistics*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, New York: W. H. Freeman, 1999.
- [3] Johnson, R., *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall, 1992.
- [4] Williams, G. J., Baxter, R. A., He, H. X., Hawkins S. and Gu L., “A Comparative Study of RNN for Outlier Detection in Data Mining,” *IEEE International Conference on Data-mining (ICDM’02)*, Maebashi City, Japan, CSIRO Technical Report CMIS-02/102, 2002.
- [5] Liu, H., Shah, S. and Jiang W. “On-line outlier detection and data cleaning,” *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, vol. 28, pp. 1635–1647, 2004.
- [6] Kaufman L., Rousseeuw P.J, *Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analysis*. Wiley, New York, 1990.
- [7] Cook, R.D and Wesberg, S., “Statistics in Medicine Bailing” *Nij*, vol. 8, pp. 1469 – 1477, 1989.
- [8] Bersley, D. A., Kuh, E. and Welsg, R. E., *Linear Statistical Model and Applied Approach* 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, John Willey, 1908.
- [9] Kapoor, V. K., *Fundamental of Mathematical Statistics*, New York: W. H. Freeman, 2001.